# I. Greeting from Professor Lochner

- a. The group was formed, with a majority of academics, as a bridge to connect individuals working in micro, macro, labor, finance, and development and discuss themes concerning markets and financing of human capital development
- b. Want to look at the micro and macro foundations and pinpoint constraints on the market

#### II. Professor James Heckman

- a. Spoke about the broader vision of INET and the Human Capital Development Group
  - i. Stressed that all of the categories within the various working groups are interrelated
  - ii. The research done should integrate into micro literature on decision making and what avenues there are for recalibration and addressing these issue pockets that the markets have not addressed

### III. Robert Dugger

- a. Brief introduction into the Institute for New Economic Thinking and concentration of efforts on reforming early childhood education policies
- b. INET and its Application to the Markets Group
  - i. We are looking to see how/if we can finance early childhood education
  - ii. Returns to early childhood development
    - The research is out there—there are high return rates on early childhood development, yet we have not allocated enough resources to see how this research plays out on a larger scale.

### iii. Develop New Talent

- 1. This group is designed to develop the rising talent in the field to have both academic and monetary support
  - a) Mainly post doctorates, graduate students, and assistant professors
  - b) INET can help give financial support to individuals whose research is not being connected in the main stream to receive this funding and help get published

## IV. Performance Contingent Contracts (Rob Dugger)

- a. Regarding the issue of early childhood education, there has been a broad market failure: high ROIs to early childhood education, but markets are not responding properly
  - i. Is there really failure?
- b. Social Impact Bonds
  - i. Kauffmann—PAES
    - 1. PKSE: prekindergarten for at-risk children could reduce special education costs
      - a) Obstacle is the upfront costs that public school systems face
        - i. Pre-k costs can run up to \$10,000/year
      - b) Answer: social impact bond, or the PKSE
        - i. Performance contingent contract where the investor (philanthropist) gives the school/org, etc the funds to provide at-risk students the prekindergarten education they need to enter school "ready to learn"
        - ii. Program would also provide families with mentors

- iii. The money spent on these kids reduces costs that would be incurred if these students were sent to special education programs that can cost up to \$20,000/year
- iv. These returns are within a 24-36 month period
- c) Has the potential to reduce special ed costs by nearly 100%
  - i. We want to see if this can be captured and replicated on a larger scale
  - ii. For every 18/100 that would go to special ed, there is a reduction to
    2.5/100 students that need to enroll in special education programs after implementing these programs
- 2. This raises the issue of data acquisition
  - a) Currently testing the idea to see if it is feasible
  - b) Done as a non-profit matter
  - c) Create a model using the capital allocated by philanthropic advisors
- 3. Long Term Payoffs (Professor Lars Hansen)
  - a) Are we forgetting the long term potential impacts?
    - i. Consider:
      - 1. Better citizens
      - 2. Tax revenue
    - ii. How do we measure these long term outcomes
    - iii. The program only monetizes the reduction of special ed costs and may under-value these programs (e.g. reduced grade retention)
- 4. Cristina had some questions about what it is that achieves impacts (pre-k classes or the family mentors)
  - a) Heckman responded that most programs provide a bundle of services and we don't yet know what features of these programs are important

# V. Logistical Matters

- a. Website
  - i. Create a reading list
    - 1. Have salient papers
  - ii. Working papers from group members
  - iii. Option for private member read-only use
    - 1. Allow members to get feedback from one another on current work
- b. Open discourse
  - i. Find ways to open up dialogue to what each member wants to gain and learn from one another
  - ii. Build bridges and lasting professional connections
  - iii. This is a broader group, not everyone is working on this issue for long extensive periods of time
    - 1. This group is a way to keep in touch about the work that is being conducted and keep members in the loop who are coming in and out of this topic → hub of information
- c. Conferences
  - i. When can we plan an in-person conference?
    - 1. December 15/16<sup>th</sup>?
  - ii. Want to get together to discuss ideas
    - 1. Perhaps members should send suggestions for papers that can be discussed then
- d. Want to be inclusive
- e. More members from finance and development backgrounds would be welcome

Giovanni Gallipoli, Martin Gervais, Lars Hansen, Jim Heckman, Mark Hugget, Katja Kaufmann, Lance Lochner, Alex Monge-Naranjo, Michael Lovenheim, Miguel Palacios, Lee Price, Chris Taber (—apolog for anyone that was left off the list, call-in users do not have display names)							

f. Members in attendance: Meta Brown, Elizabeth Caucutt, Mariacristina De Nardi, Robert Dugger,