Human Capital Accumulation, Private Information, and Insurance

Nicola Pavoni Bocconi University

Chicago, June 2012

Introduction

- Q: How does Human Capital (HC) accumulation interact with Insurance Markets?
- Human Capital delivers stochastic returns (e.g, Carneiro-Cuhna-Hansen-Heckman-Navarro-...).
 - How incomplete insurance markets affect HC accumulation?
 - 2 Is there scope for public intervention? If yes, how?
- Focus on Insurance of HC risk (not on liquidity)
- Review of a selected literature;
- Discuss how answers depend on the nature of markets: exogenously vs endogenously incomplete markets.

Literature

- Exogenous Markets and Linear Taxes
 - Eaton & Rosen (1980), Hamilton (1987), Aiyagari (1995)
 - Anderberg & Andersson (2003) and Jacobs et al. (2010),
 Pavoni & Gottardi (2012), Gottardi et al. (2012)
- Endogenously Incomplete Markets and/or Optimal Taxation
 - da Costa & Maestri (2007), Anderberg (2009), Grochulski & Pirskorski (2006)
 - Kapicka (2006-2010-2012), Abraham et al. (2012)
 - Bovenberg & Jocobs (2005-2008), Bohaceck & Kapicka (2008), Findeisen & Sachs (2011)



The Working Model

Technology and Preferences

Agents face idiosyncratic shocks (health/job/disability):

$$\tilde{\theta} \in \{\theta, 0\}$$
 where $\theta > 0$ with prob. π .

- Agents live two periods;
- At t = 0 they invest in HC; in t = 1 they work
- Fixed inter-temporal transfer technology $1 \Rightarrow 1/q$
- Labor income is given by $y = w(h_0)\tilde{\theta}I_1$, with $w'(h_0) \ge 0$.
- Preferences over consumption, HC and labor (c_0, h_0, c_1, l_1) :

$$u(c_0 - h_0) + \beta [u(c_1) - v(l_1)].$$

p = 1 price of HC; u concave, v convex (strictly), v(0)=0.



Market Arrangements

Complete Markets (First Best)

Assume that all actions are public information

$$\max_{\substack{c_0,h_0,c(\theta),\underline{c},I(\theta)\geq 0\\ \text{subject to}}} u(c_0-h_0) + \beta\pi \left[u(c(\theta))-v\left(I(\theta)\right)\right] + \beta(1-\pi)u(\underline{c})$$
 subject to
$$y_0-c_0+q\pi \left[w(h_0)\theta I(\theta)-c(\theta)\right] - q(1-\pi)c > 0. \quad (\lambda)$$

- Full-Insurance: $c(\theta) = c = c_1^*$
- **2** Production efficiency: $\theta w(h_0^*)u'(c_1^*) = v'(I^*(\theta))$, I(0) = 0
- **3** Intertemporal efficiency: $qu'(c_0^* h_0^*) = \beta u'(c_1^*) = q\lambda$
- HC investment optimality:

$$\frac{1}{a} = \pi w'(h_0^*)\theta I^*(\theta).$$



Policy Concepts and Terminology

- First-Best social returns can be compared to social returns in imperfect economies. Is it a useful concept?
- First-Best social margins can be compared to social margins in imperfect economies; perhaps more useful.
- For each economy, one can compare social margins vs private margins ⇒ wedges.
- In general, (linear) taxes differ from wedges.
 - They are the same only in concave economies
 - Wedges inform on 'third-best' linear taxes in non-concave economies (Ramsey)

Private Margins and Wedges

- Now we ask: is the agent at his/her private optimum?
- Private and social margins for savings coincide:

$$qu'(c_0^* - h_0^*) = \beta u'(c_1^*)$$

As they do for labor supply:

$$\theta w(h_0^*)u'(c_1^*) = v'(I^*(\theta)), \quad I(0) = 0.$$

 Private margin for HC investment is also aligned to social margin:

$$\frac{1}{a} = \pi w'(h_0^*)\theta I^*(\theta)$$

⇒ With complete insurance markets all wedges are zero.
In this talk say: 'there is no scope for policy intervention'.



The Bond Economy

- Assume that y, $\tilde{\theta}$, I and period 1 consumption all unobservable
- Agents cannot be insured against shocks (self-insurance)

$$\max_{h_0, k_0, I} u(y_0 - h_0 - qk_0) + \beta \pi \left[u(y + k_0) - v(I) \right] + \beta (1 - \pi) u(k_0)$$
s.t. $y = w(h_0) \theta I$

• Optimal choice of k_0 (Euler Equation):

$$qu'(c_0 - h_0) = \beta \sum_{\theta} \pi_{\theta} u'(c(\theta))$$

Labor supply:

$$\theta w(h_0)u'(c(\theta)) = v'(I(\theta)), \quad I(0) = 0.$$



Bond Economy II: Policy Predictions

HC investment margin (HC is a 'bad' asset):

$$\frac{u'(c_0 - h_0)}{\beta u'(c(\theta))} = \pi(h_0)w'(h_0)\theta I(\theta) > \frac{1}{q}$$

- Note: uncertainty reduces the level of HC investment $(h_0 < h_0^*)$ and tends to increase k_0 (precautionary savings).
- A tax on k_0 might increase h_0 as it would a HC subsidy
- In fact, there is again no scope for government intervention.
- All private and social margins coincide (constrained efficient):
 Exogenously incomplete markets & no pecuniary externalities.

Endogenous Insurance Markets

Observable HC

- y_0 , y, h_0 , savings, and consumption in period 1 are observable.
- \bullet $\tilde{\theta}$ and I are not.

max
$$u(c_0 - h_0) + \beta \pi \left[u(c(\theta)) - v(I(\theta)) \right] + \beta (1 - \pi) u(\underline{c})$$

subject to
$$v_0 - c_0 + g \pi \left[w(h_0) \theta I(\theta) - c(\theta) \right] - g(1 - \pi) c \ge 0; \quad (\lambda)$$

$$u(c(\theta)) - v(I(\theta)) \ge u(\underline{c})$$
 (μ)

First-Best rule for HC investment

$$\pi w'(h_0)\theta I(\theta) = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Intuition of the First-Best rule for HC

• The social cost of investment is not distorted by incentives:

$$u'(c_0 - h_0) = \lambda$$

- The direct returns of h_0 are fully internalized by the insurer which gives in exchange an allocation: $q\lambda\pi w'(h_0)\theta I(\theta)$
- Social margin is not distorted by incentives: h_0 is 'neutral' to the ex-post incentives for the insurer. In general, the multiplicative-separable form $w(h_0)\theta$ matters.
- Q: What does the first best rule mean for policy?

Private Margins

- Again, would a private agent be happy to remain with the stated allocation?
- Labor margin is alligned to social margin (no-distortion-at-the-top)
- Some private margins are distorted (Wedges)
- 1. Savings are discouraged (complement to shirking):

$$qu'(c_0 - h_0) < \beta \left[\pi u'(c(\theta)) + (1 - \pi)u'(\underline{c}) \right]$$

- 2. Subsidize HC (complement to working):
 - Expected Return:

$$\pi w'(h_0)\theta I(\theta) = \frac{1}{q}$$

Risk-ajusted private cost:

$$\frac{u'(c_0-h_0)}{\beta u'(c(\theta))} > \frac{1}{q}$$

Unobservable HC

- y_0 , y, savings, and consumption in period 1 are observable.
- $\tilde{\theta}$ and h_0 , l_1 are not observable.

$$\begin{split} &u(c_0-h_0)+\beta\pi\left[u(c(\theta))-v\left(\frac{y(\theta)}{w(h_0)\theta}\right)\right]+\beta(1-\pi)u(\underline{c})\\ &\text{subject to}\\ &y_0-c_0+q\pi\left[y(\theta)-c(\theta)\right]-q(1-\pi)\underline{c}\geq 0; \end{split} \tag{λ}$$

$$u(c_0 - h_0) + \beta \pi \left[u(c(\theta)) - v \left(\frac{y(\theta)}{w(h_0)\theta} \right) \right] + \beta (1 - \pi) u(\underline{c}) \qquad (\mu)$$

$$> u(c_0) + \beta u(c) \quad \text{under-invest and lie: } \hat{h}_0 = 0 \text{ and } \hat{\theta} = 0.$$

$$u'(c_0 - h_0) = \pi \beta u'(c(\theta)) w'(h_0) \theta I(\theta)$$
 (slack)



Results and Intuitions

 It is optimal to have HC paying a positive premium ('second best' h₀ is below first best rule)

$$\pi w'(h_0)\theta I(\theta) > \frac{1}{q}.$$

• In this problem, the cost of h_0 is affected by incentives:

$$u'(c_0-h_0)(1+\mu)=\lambda$$

- h_0 is now reduced $u(c_0 h_0)$ hence increases to discourage the agent to deviate: under-invest and lie.
- Q: What about Private Margins?
- 1. HC private and social margin coincide by construction;
- 2. Savings are again discouraged:

$$qu'(c_0 - h_0) < \beta \left[\pi(h_0)u'(c(\theta)) + (1 - \pi(h_0))u'(\underline{c}) \right]$$

Summary

- Economies with different informational frictions:
 - 1. Complete insurance markets (First-Best);
 - 2. The Bond economy (self-insurance);
 - 3. Imperfect insurance with observable HC;
 - 4. Imperfect insurance with hidden HC investment.
- We focused on social margins compared to F-B and wedges
- In 2. social margins differ from that of 1. (F-B). But in both economies there is no case for policy intervention (wedges=0)
- In 3. & 4. savings are always discouraged while HC should be (weakly) subsidized (positive vs negative wedges).
- In 3. social returns follow a First-Best rule, while in 4. HC investment is below the First-Best rule (social margins are 'distorted' away from First-Best rules as HC investment interacts with incentive constraints).

Discussion

• Allowing for endogenous capital returns: k₀ always follows first best rule

$$\frac{1}{q} = f'(k_0^*)$$

- This talk was not on wether we should change existing policies:
 - We do not know what are the existing markets (empirical question)
 - Policy reforms are quantitative questions.
- **3** Heterogeneous returns: If type is partially known in advance by the agent and h_0 is observable, we have 'tagging' on an endogenous variable.
- What about income taxation and HC? (endogenous weights)
- What about hidden assets? (regressive taxation)

