The Gut Microbiota and Host Metabolic Physiology/Growth



Sathish Subramanian HCEO 2017







Nutrition: a quintessential sustainable development goal



Lancet Maternal & Child Nutrition Series, 2013

Defining nutritional status according to the World Health Organization

Multi-center reference growth study (1997 – 2003)

WHO Multi-Center Growth Reference Study from approximately 8500 children from widely differing ethnic backgrounds and cultural settings (Brazil, Ghana, India, Norway, Oman and the USA) assessing the growth and development of infants and young children around the world.

Z-scores: Height-for-Age Weight-for-Age Weight-for-Height

A single international standard that is the best description of growth for all children from birth to five years of age.



Defining nutritional status according to the World Health Organization

Diagnostic criterion and presentation of Severe Acute Malnutrition:

WLZ score < -3



Bipedal edema



MUAC < 11.5 cm



Therapeutic foods to treat malnutrition

Plumpy-Nut RUTF



Khichuri



Halwa



	RUTF	Khichuri	Halwa
Energy (Kcal)	530-545	145	240

The gut microbiota as part of the vicious cycle of malnutrition

Undernutrition (malnutrition) is not caused by food insecurity alone, but by a variety of intra- and inter-generational factors, all of which could be influenced by the gut microbiota.



The gut microbiota can be thought of as another organ in the human body

The human gut microbiota: **community of microbes** that live along the human gut.

Microbiome refers to the genetic sequence of these microbes.

Food shapes the balance of power in this community and can in turn affect:

- Nutritional absorption
- Metabolism
- Immunity and Vaccination
- Susceptibility to Infections

Central Hypothesis:

Human gut microbes develop like the rest of our organs, and this development is affected in undernutrition



Microbes in turn influence host physiology and development

Villi microvascular network regulated by microbes via Paneth cells



Stappenbeck, Hooper and Grodon, PNAS 2002

- Gut barrier function
- Modulation of regulatory T cells and other immune cell populations
- Intestinal motility
- SCFA production
- TMAO production, tryptophan, bile salt metabolism

Gut microbial communities change dramatically in early life

Age is a major factor in shaping what bacterial communities look like in the gut

Based on a pair-wise phylogenetic distance based approach, completely **unsupervised**, children approximate their parents over the time course of two years



Yatsunenko et al., 2012

Collaboration to study undernutrition in Mirpur, Bangladesh

Collaboration with ICDDR,B

Oral Rehydration therapy was discovered here, now implemented worldwide as lifesaving therapy for cholera.

Study participants are inhabitants of an urban slum area in Mirpur, Dhaka

Birth cohort and nutritional status data were collected along with biospecimens.





Photos reproduced with consent courtesy of icddr,b Bangladesh

Methods to characterize the bacterial component of the gut microbiota

Pulverize bio-specimens & extract genomic DNA

Biospecimens provide a non-invasive measure of bacterial diversity in the gastrointestinal tract PCR amplify sample DNA using a 16S rRNA gene (phylogenetic 'barcode of bacterial life')



throughput sequencing

Group related sequences into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU) based on alignment with 97% sequence identity.

Bacterial species/strains/taxa are defined based on 97% identity

Application of a machine learning approach to developing microbiota maturation metrics



Random Forests: decision-tree based machine learning method applied to **identify bacterial strains that can serve as markers of the aging process.**

Advantages:

- 1. Non-parametric assumptions
- Ability to deal with large number of features relative to data-points (predictors >> number of data points)
- 1. Provides a ranking of features that assist with feature selection
- 2. Suited for sparse dataset types (tables with lots of 0's)

Disadvantages:

black-box nature of algorithm, possibility of over-fitting, limited by the data provided for training

Defining the stages of gut microbiota development using RF regression

Healthy infants/ children from Bangladeshi study population; sampled monthly from birth to two years

Use Random Forests (machine learning) to identify bacterial taxa that are most discriminatory for different stages in assembly of the microbiota

Y is age of child ~ Xi are the abundances of each type of gut bacteria, i Create sparse model based on most age-discriminatory taxa



Fach row is a different agediscriminatory strain

Max

of a bacterial taxon

Identification of bacterial taxa as biomarkers of healthy gut microbiota maturation

Steps to identification of age-discriminatory taxa:

1. **Rank bacterial taxa** in order of mean-squared error, permutation-based importance score

2. **Cross-validate** within training set to estimate number of taxa needed for accurate prediction

3. **Generate** sparse model and **validate** model in samples from a different set of unrelated children Increasing importance in accuracy of model



Validation of a sparse Bangladeshi model consisting of age-discriminatory taxa



Definition of two microbiota metrics based on the Bangladeshi model

(1) Relative microbiota maturity =

microbiota age of a given child – microbiota age for healthy children of similar chronological age

(2) Microbiota-for-age Z score (MAZ)

(predicted microbiota age – median) standard deviation of microbiota age



Application of metrics to a cohort of Bangladeshi twins and triplets

Diarrheal episodes in anthropometrically healthy children are associated with a transient reduction in maturity levels that recovers.



ANOVA of linear mixed models:

'diarrhoea', 'month following diarrhoea' and 'presence of formula in diet' have significant effects, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01

Presentation of severe acute malnutrition (SAM)



Weight-for-Length Z score < -3 SD Bipedal edema, MUAC < 11.5 cm

Stabilization with Milk Suji (porridge)

Oral Rehydration

Antibiotics

Administration of therapeutic foods

Khichuri



Halwa







Inpatient treatment of children with severe undernutrition



Randomized clinical trial comparing two food interventions to treat SAM



Despite treatment, children don't improve in terms of age-adjusted height and not completely with weight.

Persistent immaturity of the gut microbiota in children with SAM



Microbiota immaturity evident prior to administration of antibiotics



Two types of therapeutic foods produce an improvement in microbiota maturity indices that was not sustained

More prolonged food-based interventions of varying composition and / or addition of gut microbes may be beneficial

Age-discriminatory taxa may themselves serve as therapeutic agents and/or targets of next generation microbiota-directed therapeutic foods

Gut microbial milestones as metrics to monitor therapeutic interventions

Bacterial strains discriminatory for age in healthy children **provide a way to characterize malnourished states and human postnatal development**



Beyond their diagnostic value these features can be now investigated in terms of their **functional roles** in cell-based, animal and human studies

Further questions?

Relationship between maternal microbes and infant microbes?

Relationship between complex food consumption patterns and gut bacteria?

Relationship between gut microbial organ development and development of other organs? Brain, Bone, Immune System Development



Subramanian et al., 2015

Testing causality in a humanized gnotobiotic model of kwashiorkor

Malawian twin pair discordant for kwashiorkor



Smith, Yatsunenko et al., Science 2013

Testing causality in a humanized gnotobiotic model of stunting

Malawian singletons with chronic forms of undernutrition



Pleiotropic effects in humanized mouse models of undernutrition

Days on diet



Charbonneau et al., Cell 2016

Neonatal sepsis and an early synbiotic interventions



A randomized synbiotic trial to prevent sepsis among infants in rural India

Pinaki Panigrahi^{1,2}, Sailajanandan Parida³, Nimai C. Nanda⁴, Radhanath Satpathy⁵, Lingaraj Pradhan⁶, Dinesh S. Chandel⁷, Lorena Baccaglini¹, Arjit Mohapatra⁵, Subhranshu S. Mohapatra⁵, Pravas R. Misra⁵, Rama Chaudhry⁸, Hegang H. Chen⁹, Judith A. Johnson¹⁰, J. Glenn Morris Jr¹⁰, Nigel Paneth¹¹ & Ira H. Gewolb¹²

·					
Outcome variables	Control n=2,278 (%)	Synbiotic n=2,278 (%)	RR (95% CI)	NNT (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Death and sepsis (primary outcome)	206 (9.0)	123 (5.4)	0.60 (0.48, 0.74)	27 (19, 47)	<0.001
Deaths	4 (0.2)	6 (0.3)	1.50 (0.42, 5.31)	NA*	0.526†
Sepsis (A + B + C)	202 (8.9)	117 (5.1)	0.58 (0.46, 0.72)	27 (19, 44)	<0.001
A. Sepsis/pSBI—culture-positive septicaemia	27 (1.2)	6 (0.3)	0.22 (0.09, 0.53)	108 (71, 232)	<0.001
Gram-negative sepsis	16 (0.7)	4 (0.2)	0.25 (0.08, 0.75)	190 (110, 699)	0.007
Gram-positive sepsis	11 (0.5)	2 (0.1)	0.18	253	0.012

Table 2 | Effect of synbiotic treatment on sepsis and other morbidities in the first 60 days of life

Community-based, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial in 149 randomly chosen villages in Odisha state

Microbiota directed complementary foods for undernutrition



Potato

Tilapia

Preserving and nurturing our resources for a sustainable future



Acknowledgements

Gordon Laboratory

